



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,991	05/01/2001	Hiroshi Shibata	2271/64858	3907
7590 09/15/2005			EXAMINER	
Ivan S. Kavrukov			SHINGLES, KRISTIE D	
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2141	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/846,991	SHIBATA, HIROSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kristie Shingles	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	uly 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	and and the consider AS II A A	2.440(-) (-) (-)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	Treceived in this realistic stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Per Applicant's Request for Continued Examination:

Claims 1, 5, 9, 12, 15, 20, 23, 28, 31, 35 and 39 have been amended.

Claims 1-46 are pending.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/11/2005 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 9, 12, 15, 20, 23, 28, 31, 35 and 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2141

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampath et al (USPN 6,665,425) in view of Manchala et al (USPN 6,405,178).
- a. Per claim 1, Sampath et al teach a communications terminal apparatus, comprising:
  - a communications system configured to perform electronic communications with a manager supervising said apparatus (Abstract and col.7 line 57-col.8 line 20);
  - a register registering electronic communications addresses of said manager and said service depot, identification of said apparatus, specification of said consumable product, and identification of said service depot (col.1 line 61col.2 line 58, col.3 line 53-col.4 line 10, and col.7 line 50-col.8 line 51); and
  - a controller configured to send a request for supplying said consumable product to said manager using said electronic communications address when said detector detects that said consumable product is nearly ended and a report for reporting a completion of supplying said consumable product on said apparatus when said detector detects that said consumable product is refilled, said request including said identification of said apparatus, said specification of said consumable product, and said identification of said service depot (Abstract, col.4 line 11-col.5 line 8, col.6 lines 15-50 and col.7 line 50-col.8 line 51).

Sampath et al teach automatically identifying image quality problems in document processing systems, the automatic scheduling of service, parts and/or consumables and automated remediation of faults (col.1 lines 39-60) along with the collection of relevant machine data initiating diagnostic routines (col.3 line 53-col.4 line 10, col.7 lines 3-21, col.8 lines 1-11). Yet Sampath et al fail to explicitly disclose a detector automatically detecting a status of usage of a consumable product used in said apparatus and supplied by a service depot. However, Manchala et al disclose automatic monitoring of the amount of consumable used in printing systems, wherein upon notification about the near exhaustion of a consumable, a purchase order

to the vendor/supplier is automatically made (Abstract, col.3 lines 26-36, col.4 lines 1-4 and 16-22, col.6 lines 8-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Sampath et al* and *Manchala et al* for the purpose of providing automated detection capabilities in order to collect the necessary data needed for diagnosing possible machine defects or problems without manual intervention.

- b. Claims 5, 9 and 12 contain limitations substantially equivalent to the limitations of Claim 1 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- c. Per claim 39, Sampath et al teach a method of maintaining a system that comprises networked units that may require from time to time at least one of replenishing consumables and servicing of components, wherein said consumables or servicing are provided by at least one external facility and said system of networked units is supervised by a manager who need not be at the premises of said units, said method comprising:
  - responding to the generation of a first detection signal at the unit to automatically generate and electronically transmit a first notification to each of (a) the manager supervising the networked units, and (b) the at least one external facility (Abstract and col.7 line 57-col.8 line 20);
  - wherein said first notification identifies at least said unit and said event to thereby advise both the manager and the at least one facility (a) which of the networked units has a requirement and (b) what is the requirement (col.1 line 61-col.2 line 58, col.3 line 53-col.4 line 10, and col.7 line 50-col.8 line 51);
  - responding to the generation of said second detection signal to automatically generate and transmit a second notification to at least one of said manager and said at least one facility (Abstract, col.5 lines 1-8 and col.8 lines 1-45); and
  - said second notification advising that the requirement has been met (Abstract, col.5 lines 1-8 and col.8 lines 36-45).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,991 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

Sampath et al teach automatically identifying image quality problems in document processing systems, the automatic scheduling of service, parts and/or consumables and automated remediation of faults (col.1 lines 39-60) along with the collection of relevant machine data initiating diagnostic routines (col.3 line 53-col.4 line 10, col.7 lines 3-21, col.8 lines 1-11). Yet Sampath et al fail to explicitly disclose automatically detecting a first event indicative of a requirement for replenishing consumables or servicing components at any one of said networked units, and generating a first detection signal in response to a detection of a first event at the unit and automatically detecting thereafter at said unit a second event indicating that the requirement has been satisfied, and generating a second detection signal in response to a detection of said second event. However, Manchala et al disclose automatic monitoring of the amount of consumable used in printing systems, wherein upon notification about the near exhaustion of a consumable, a purchase order to the vendor/supplier is automatically made (Abstract, col.3 lines 26-36, col.4 lines 1-4 and 16-22, col.6 lines 8-19) and automatic updates (Manchala et al: col.3 lines 31-36, col.4 lines 15-21, col.5 lines 3-7; Sampath et al: Abstract, col.5 lines 1-8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of *Sampath et al* and *Manchala et al* for the purpose of providing automated detection capabilities in order to collect the necessary data needed for diagnosing possible machine defects or problems without manual intervention.

- d. Claims 15, 20, 23, 28, 31 and 35 contain limitations substantially equivalent to the limitations of Claims 1 and 39 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- e. Per claim 2, Sampath et al and Manchala et al teach a communications terminal apparatus as defined in claim 1, Sampath et al further teach wherein said communications system

Art Unit: 2141

performs E-mail communications with said manager (col.1 lines 61-67 and col.7 line 57-col.8 line 20).

Page 6

- f. Claims 6, 10, 13, 18, 26, 32, 36 and 42 contain limitations substantially equivalent to the limitation of Claim 2 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- g. **Per claim 3,** Sampath et al and Manchala et al teach a communications terminal apparatus as defined in claim 1, Sampath et al further teach wherein said consumable product includes toner (col.7 lines 3-23 and col.8 lines 1-51).
- h. Claims 11, 33 and 44 contain limitations substantially equivalent to the limitations of Claim 3 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- i. **Per claim 4**, *Sampath et al* and *Manchala et al* teach a communications terminal apparatus as defined in claim 1, *Sampath et al* further teach wherein said communications system performs facsimile communications with said manager (col.1 lines 40-67, col.5 lines 9-22 and col.8 lines 11-20).
- j. Claims 8, 19, 22, 27, 30, 34, 38 and 43 contain limitations substantially equivalent to the limitations of Claim 4 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- k. **Per claim** 7, *Sampath et al* and *Manchala et al* teach a communications terminal apparatus as defined in claim 5, *Sampath et al* further teach wherein said maintenance component includes a photoconductor (col.5 lines 9-22).
- l. Claims 14, 37 and 46 contain limitations substantially equivalent to the limitations of Claim 7 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- m. **Per claim 16**, Sampath et al and Manchala et al teach a communications terminal apparatus as defined in claim 15, Sampath et al further teach the apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/846,991 Page 7

Art Unit: 2141

 an analyzer configured to analyze E-mail including request receipt acknowledgement information notified from either said manager or said service depot with respect to said first E-mail (col.7 lines 36-67);

- a display displaying said request receipt acknowledgement information (col.8 lines 1-20),
- wherein said mail controlling system controls said display to display said request receipt acknowledgement information analyzed by said analyzer, and controls said display to stop displaying when said consumable product is determined to be in said refilled status based on said detect information detected by said consumable product status detector (Abstract, col.8 lines 1-51, col.10 line 65-col.11 line 13).
- n. Claims 21, 24 and 29 contain limitations substantially equivalent to the limitations of Claim 16 and are therefore rejected under the same basis.
- o. Per claim 17, Sampath et al and Manchala et al teach a communications terminal apparatus as defined in claim 15, Sampath et al further teach wherein said terminal identification information includes at least one of an E-mail address, a serial number, facsimile TTI information, and a telephone number of said apparatus (col.1 line 61-col.2 line 58, col.3 line 53-col.4 line 10, and col.7 line 50-col.8 line 51).
- p. Claim 25 contains limitations substantially equivalent to the limitations of Claim 17 and is therefore rejected under the same basis.
- q. **Per claim 40**, Sampath et al and Manchala et al teach a method as in claim 39 including receiving at the unit, Sampath et al further teach in response to said transmitting of said first notification, a first communication from at least one of said manager and said at least one external facility and displaying a selected representation of said response at the unit (col.2 lines 54-58, col.7 lines 36-67 and col.8 lines 1-20).

Art Unit: 2141

r. Per claim 41, Sampath et al teach a method as in claim 40 in which said

communication is from said at least one external facility and advise when the request is expected

to be met (col.1 lines 52-67 and col.2 lines 54-67).

s. Per claim 45, Sampath et al and Manchala et al teach a method as in claim 39

Sampath et al further teach the method in which said first event is indicative of a requirement to

service a heater in said unit (col.3 line 53-col.4 line 26).

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Seko et al (USPN 4,583,834), Stokes (USPN 5,612,902), Suzuki (USPN 6,334,658), Friz et al (USPN 5,786,994), Yamashita et al (USPN 5,594,529) and Song et al (USPN

5,850,583).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kristie Shingles whose telephone number is 571-272-3888. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kristie Shingles Examiner Art Unit 2141

kds

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER